PRINTED: 10/21/2009 FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 0938-0391

	OF DEFICIENCIES CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	A. BUII		PLE CONSTRUCTION	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		297129	B. WIN	G	<del></del>	09/2	4/2009
	OVIDER OR SUPPLIER		,	7	REET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 1975 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, #101 LAS VEGAS, NV 89117	, 00/2	2000
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENC	TATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES BY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREF TAG		PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECT (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOUL CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPRO DEFICIENCY)	LD BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE
G 000	INITIAL COMMENTS	3	G	000			
	a result of the Medica under 42 CFR Part 4 conducted at your ag 9/24/09.  The active census or was 58. Eight clinical including two closed were conducted.  The findings and con by the Health Division prohibiting any criminactions or other claim.	eficiencies was generated as are re-certification survey 84 - Home Health Services, gency from 9/21/09 through at the first day of the survey al records were reviewed, records. Two home visits acclusions of any investigation in shall not be construed as hall or civil investigations, has for relief that may be younder applicable federal,					
G 121	identified. 484.12(c) COMPLIAI PROFESSIONAL ST The HHA and its staf professional standard		G	121			
	Surveyor: 22116 Based on the Nurse and staff interview, the care was provided in standards of practice diabetic patients who	Practice Act, record review ne agency failed to ensure accordance with accepted of for 2 of 2 insulin dependant of were unable to provide safe d no willing or able caregiver					

LABORATORY DIRECTOR'S OR PROVIDER/SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE'S SIGNATURE

(X6) DATE

Any deficiency statement ending with an asterisk (\*) denotes a deficiency which the institution may be excused from correcting providing it is determined that other safeguards provide sufficient protection to the patients. (See instructions.) Except for nursing homes, the findings stated above are disclosable 90 days following the date of survey whether or not a plan of correction is provided. For nursing homes, the above findings and plans of correction are disclosable 14 days following the date these documents are made available to the facility. If deficiencies are cited, an approved plan of correction is requisite to continued program participation.

TITLE

	OF DEFICIENCIES CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X2) MULTI A. BUILDIN	PLE CONSTRUCTION  G		(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		297129	B. WING _		09/2	24/2009	
	OVIDER OR SUPPLIER		;	REET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 7975 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, #101 LAS VEGAS, NV 89117	,		
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENC	ATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES Y MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF COF (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE DEFICIENCY)	SHOULD BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE	
G 121	Continued From page	e 1	G 121				
	Care of Patients; "addevaluation of a patient acts which are necess care to a patient when to a patient, assisting or delegating the care qualified to provide the Patient #1  The patient was admediated admitting diagnoses in diabetes, hypertension disease. His admissified he had some such as impaired deconfused on a daily behis wife. Documenta Patient #1's wife was daily oral medication wanted to assist with because Patient #1 we "noncompliant nor cooling to provide twivisits for diabetes and Patient #1 was on Normanagement during the 34 units to be be admitted.	at's health and the initiation of sary to provide adequate in needed, giving direct care with the care of the patient e of the patient to persons at care."  Attend to home health care on eed on 4/21/09, when it was so longer home bound. His included uncontrolled on, chronic obstructive airway on assessment also be cognitive deficiencies, asision making and was easis. Patient #1 lived with attention indicated that although assisting with Patient #1's regime, she no longer his insulin management was in the wife's words operative with care."  The sinstructed the home health ce a day skilled nursing domesting insulin management. The problem insulin sliding scale with care devery evening at insulin sinistered every evening at					
	Documentation in the	initial assessment					

	OF DEFICIENCIES CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	` ′	CONSTRUCTION		(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
			A. BUILDING				
		297129	B. WING		09	/24/2009	
	ROVIDER OR SUPPLIER		797	ET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 5 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, #101 S VEGAS, NV 89117			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENC	ATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES Y MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL .SC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CO (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE DEFICIENCY)	SHOULD BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE	
G 121	own insulin safely, and Documentation for the care revealed Patient skilled nursing twice a mid afternoon. Documurses visit notes we there was no willing a check blood sugars a Patient #1. Documer Patient #1 had vision that prevented him from his insulin during the consistent that althout prepared and administinsulin coverage requalso prepared and left for Patient #1 to self a There was no evidentiany of the nurses evaluation and the admission number of the nurses evaluation and the admission number of the admission number of the nurses evaluation and the recognized Patient #1 testing his blood sugainsulin. Specific examprovided was that Pareuse lancets and newould lick his finger fingerstick	anable to properly administer equately or correctly."  The two certification periods of #1 was being seen by a day, every morning and mentation in the skilled re consistent with identifying and capable caregiver to administer the insulin to attation was consistent that and cognitive impairments on being able to administer day. Documentation was ghene the skilled nurses stered the sliding scale ired for Patient #1, the nurse the 34 unit Lantus insulin administer at bedtime. The interest and one of the nurses Patient #1 during his home alloyee #3, confirmed she are and one of the nurses Patient #1 during his home alloyee #3 stated that upon ealth care, Employee #3 I used poor technique with ars and administering his anples that Employee #3 tient #1 would: eedles/syringes of wipes to clean his skin to clean it before a	G 121				

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:  A. BUILDING  A. BUILDING		(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED					
		297129	B. WIN	IG_		09/2	4/2009
	ROVIDER OR SUPPLIER		•	7	REET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 7975 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, #101 LAS VEGAS, NV 89117		
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENC	ATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES Y MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREF TAG		PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DEFICIENCY)		(X5) COMPLETION DATE
G 121	the sliding scale insuladministered during he she did not evaluate properly administer he the wife refused to a administration administration. Patient #1 had not he whether he was able evening dose of Lant. Patient #8  This patient was administration diagnose included uncirculatory and visual physician's orders we visits. Other orders done three times a darevealed that althoug members, there was able caregiver available diabetic/insulin mana assessment document members were present assist with Patient #8 regime because they they were out of state basis, or they were not taught.  Documentation of skit the nurse did go ever Patient #8's 15 units no evidence in the children administration of skit the children and the children administration of skit the nurse did go ever Patient #8's 15 units no evidence in the children administration of skit the children administration of skit the nurse did go ever Patient #8's 15 units no evidence in the children administration of skit the nurse did go ever Patient #8's 15 units no evidence in the children administration of skit the nurse did go ever Patient #8's 15 units no evidence in the children administration of skit the nurse did go ever Patient #8's 15 units no evidence in the children administration of skit the nurse did go ever Patient #8's 15 units no evidence in the children administration of the shift adminis	titient #1 administer any of lin doses that were ner visits e Patient #1's ability to is evening doses of insulin assist with insulin doen assessed to confirm to safely administer his us insulin.  The agency on rently being seen, in his aperiod. His admitting incontrolled diabetes, with complications. The ere for daily skilled nursing included blood sugars to be any. The clinical record h Patient #8 lived with family no consistent, willing and	G	121			

	OF DEFICIENCIES CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION  A. BUILDING			(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		297129	B. WIN	G		09/24/2009	
	OVIDER OR SUPPLIER  UPPORT SERVICES			79	EET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 975 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, #101 AS VEGAS, NV 89117		
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENC)	ATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES Y MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREF TAG		PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROVIDERICIENCY)	.D BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE
G 121	Continued From page	e 4	G	121			
G 145	nurse performed. 484.14(g) COORDINA SERVICES	ATION OF PATIENT	G	145			
	_	port for each patient is sent ician at least every 60 days.					
	Surveyor: 22116 Based on interview w of clinical records, the written summary to th regulatory definition or records reviewed of p certification period (P	not met as evidenced by:  with agency staff and review e agency failed to ensure the ne physician met the of a summary for 4 of 8 patient care longer than one atients #1, #8, #2, #7).					
	Findings include:						
	Patient #1						
	2/14/09, and discharge determined he was not admitting diagnoses i diabetes, hypertension disease. His admissi identified he had some such as impaired deconfused daily. Patien Documentation indicated the was assisting medication regime, shapping with his insulined the wife's was in the wife's was cooperative with care	on, chronic obstructive airway on assessment also the cognitive deficiencies, dision making and was tent #1 lived with his wife. The ated that although Patient the ground was with Patient #1's daily oral the no longer wanted to management since Patient words "noncompliant nor"."					
		rs instructed the home health ce a day skilled nursing					

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:		(X2) MUL A. BUILD	TIPLE CONSTRUCTION ING		(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		297129	B. WING		09	/24/2009
	OVIDER OR SUPPLIER			STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 7975 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, #101 LAS VEGAS, NV 89117		
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIEN	STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES NCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL R LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CO (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE DEFICIENCY)	I SHOULD BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE
G 145	Patient #1 was on Management during 34 units to be be accomply be and bedtime.  Patient #1's clinical 60 day summary ar 60 day summary procare and summary were not divided be and difficult to determine to the belonged to which a documentation: "paragement on multiple and uncontrolled, patient with treatment regir patient on multiple appressure unstable, check patient's blood administer insuline compliant, not motivate the was no evided #1's blood sugars of what was done with pressure. The suminformation or progressives that were in days; physical ther nursing assistants.  A discharge summar part of the OASIS of following was the accompliant with the pressure of the OASIS of following was the accompliant to the patient of the OASIS of following was the accompliant to the patient to the patie	record revealed it contained a day and Lantus insulin diministered every evening at record revealed it contained a day and a discharge summary. The oblems identified at start of of care/progress towards goal atween the two time periods mine which statements section. This was the tient's blood sugar at not compliant, not motivated me, blood pressure elevated, medications, patient's blood Skilled nursing continues to be sugars, pressure and very visit. Patient non vated with care."  Ince of what ranges Patient or blood pressures were, or a his "unstable" blood mary did not include any ress reports from other nvolved during the past 60 apy, social services or certified ary written on 4/21/09, was discharge assessment. The gency's discharge summary:	G 1			
	#1's blood sugars of what was done with pressure. The sum information or progreservices that were indays; physical ther nursing assistants.  A discharge summate part of the OASIS of following was the attempt in the part of the part of the oasis. Patient no was no evidence of being seen, or by we	or blood pressures were, or in his "unstable" blood mary did not include any ress reports from other involved during the past 60 apy, social services or certified ary written on 4/21/09, was discharge assessment. The				

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:  A. BUILDING			(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED				
		297129	B. WIN	1G _		09/24	4/2009
	OVIDER OR SUPPLIER		•	7	REET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 7975 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, #101 LAS VEGAS, NV 89117		
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENC	ATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES Y MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	T BE PRECEDED BY FULL PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE		.D BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE	
G 145	no documentation of compliance with his of he was no longer hor.  An interview with the on 9/22/09, revealed because the agency to the store to get cig.  Patient #8  The patient was admagency on 7/16/09, where was legally blind. He caregiver to perform to administer his insufinctuded osteoarthriting general weakness.  The 60 day summary the following docume admitted to home heap ain exacerbations, assessment and tead complications with magnetic management. Wife in weekly basis, son unand blood sugar check status."  There was no docume frequently Patient #8 nursing or his progress of his diabetic regime summary of what Patient was no docume of his diabetic regime summary of what Patient #8 nursing or his progress of his diabetic regime summary of what Patient #8 nursing or what Patient #8 nursi	the status of Patient #1's liabetic management or why mebound.  Director of Nursing (DON) Patient #1 was discharged found out that he was driving arettes.  Itted to the home health with the primary diagnoses of a mellitus (DM). Patient #8 had no willing or able finger stick blood sugars or lin. Other diagnoses is, diabetic neuropathy, and a dated 9/21/09, contained intation: "Patient was alth for uncontrolled DM, Patient has received skilled thing regarding edication, diet, fall dillegible) for pain in Mexico and California on able to do Insulin injections in Mexico and California on able to do Insulin injections in the state of the s	G	145			
	or who was performir	ng the ordered blood sugars					

	OF DEFICIENCIES F CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X2) MULTIPL A. BUILDING	LE CONSTRUCTION		(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		297129	B. WING		09/2	24/2009	
	ROVIDER OR SUPPLIER		79	EET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 75 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, #101 AS VEGAS, NV 89117			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENC	ATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES Y MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREFIX TAG	PROVIDER'S PLAN OF COF (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE A DEFICIENCY)	SHOULD BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE	
G 145	during the day. Ther other services involve Patient #8's progress  Patient #2  The patient was adm 3/14/09, with the prim hypertension, and uri an acute care hospita on 3/31/09. The disc the OASIS discharge nursing seeing patier evaluation of all body respiratory status, vita with no episodes of ewithin normal levels. also explained Educ meds at right time, do or adverse reactions.  The discharge summ Patient #2's primary of urinary tract infection the summary of what ranges were. There medication changes, was ordered during the Patient #2 had reque to be transferred bac agency. The DON all discharge order indic services, instead of hanother home health	e was no summary of the ed (physical therapy) or	G 145				

	OF DEFICIENCIES CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	A. BUI		PLE CONSTRUCTION	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		297129	B. WIN	IG		09/2	4/2009
	OVIDER OR SUPPLIER		•	7	REET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 975 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, #101 .AS VEGAS, NV 89117	,	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENC	ATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES Y MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREF TAG		PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECT (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOUL CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPRO DEFICIENCY)	LD BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE
G 145	Patient #7  The patient was adm 6/29/09, following an status post a hip fractincluded osteoarthriti. On admission, Patier a blister/pressure ulc.  Patient #7 was seen frequency was then tweek and then twice week of 7/26/09, the week for the remaining 7/28/09, the frequency three days, because wound. The clinical in heel wound had increase.	itted to the agency on acute care hospitalization ture. His primary diagnoses s, and abnormality of gait. nt #7 was determined to have	G	145			
G 165	summary consisted of order, instructed pating process, meds, diet and did not include any site various wound can occurrence of a left his summary of the wourd 484.18(c) CONFORM ORDERS  Drugs and treatments agency staff only as of this STANDARD is Surveyor: 22116	record revealed the 60 day of, "Wound care per MD ent regarding disease and safety." The summary ummary of physical therapy, are regime changes or the leel wound, and there was no not sizes or status.  MANCE WITH PHYSICIAN  Is are administered by ordered by the physician.  Inot met as evidenced by:	G	165			

	STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA (X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION AND PLAN OF CORRECTION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:  A. BUILDING		1` ′			(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		297129	B. WIN	IG_		09/2	4/2009
	OVIDER OR SUPPLIER		•	7	REET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 1975 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, #101 LAS VEGAS, NV 89117	,	
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENC	ATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES Y MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREF TAG		PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTION (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOULD BE CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPROPRIATE DEFICIENCY)		(X5) COMPLETION DATE
G 165	teaching with each she for 1 of 8 patients (Patients 1 of 8 patients (Patients 1 of 1 of 8 patients (Patients #6 and #3).  Findings include:  Patient #8  This patient was adm 7/16/09, following a patient was included undiabetic neuropathy, #8 was legally blind.  The plan of care date skilled nurse to perform the skilled nurse was and/or caregiver on padministration of injermanagement, signs and hypo/hyperglycemia, infection control mean medications, action of Activity pacing for enexercises,/pursed lipsugar, disease proce report, s/s of exacerb safety/emergency plate of sharps/needles, s/s/11/09-9/13/09, there documented by the services was patient #6	an's plan of care regarding killed nurse visit was followed atient #8), and failed to follow by of visits for 2 of 8 patients  whitted to the agency on shysician visit. His primary incontrolled diabetes, and osteoarthritis. Patient and osteoarthritis. Patient at 7/16/09, directed the rm daily skilled nurse visits. It is to instruct the patient atien control measures, diet, atiens, diabetic home and symptoms (s/s) of and action to be taken, sures, new or changed are use/dose/route/frequency. The patient in the p	G	165			
	•	<b>5</b> ,					

	OF DEFICIENCIES CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X2) M A. BUII		PLE CONSTRUCTION	(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		297129	B. WIN	G		09/24/2009	
	NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER  SENIOR SUPPORT SERVICES			79	REET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 975 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, #101 .AS VEGAS, NV 89117		
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENC)	ATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES Y MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREF TAG		PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRECTI (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHOUL CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPRO DEFICIENCY)	.D BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE
G 165	8/19/09, with an initial twice a week for two days after admission, back required a chanto daily care. The physician record indicate nursing was to continue daily visits to re-evaluate. On 9/1/3 frequency was chang weeks.  Review of the clinical was seen daily from 8 was no order for daily was no evidence of a physician requesting visits.  Patient #3  The patient was admit 8/17/09, following an Her primary diagnose hypertension, muscled disease.  Patient #3 was ordered therapy. Patient #3 woccupational therapy request). Physician's occupational therapy frequency of one time then twice a week for frequency schedule we 9/12/09. Review of the Patient #3 was seen to see the seen and the se	I skilled nursing frequency of weeks. On 8/25/09, seven a wound on Patient #6's ge in the nursing frequency hysician's orders in the ed that on 8/25/09, skilled ue daily to 9/1/09, and then 09, skilled nursing was to 0 9/8/09, and then 09, the skilled nursing led to twice a week for two record revealed Patient #6 8/25/09 to 9/11/09. There is visits after 9/8/09. There my communication with the the additional three daily seriously and the distance of the weeks and Alzheimer's ed to have occupational was evaluated by on 8/25/09 (per patient sorders were for to treat Patient #3 at a se a week for one week and of three weeks. The	G	165			

	OF DEFICIENCIES CORRECTION	(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	A. BUI		CONSTRUCTION	(X3) DATE SU COMPLE	
		297129	B. WIN	G		09/:	24/2009
	ROVIDER OR SUPPLIER		•	7975	T ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE S WEST SAHARA AVENUE, #101 S VEGAS, NV 89117		
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	(EACH DEFICIENC	TATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES BY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)	ID PREF TAG		PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORREC (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SHO CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APPR DEFICIENCY)	ULD BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE
G 165	occupational therapy evidence in the clinic communication or ord the additional visit.	service. There was no		323			
	completed, encoded	ronically transmit accurate, and locked OASIS data for tate agency or CMS OASIS onthly.					
	Surveyor: 22116 Based on documenta interview, the agency	refailed to electronically for each patient the agency					
	Findings include:						
	Health Agency revea Error #286 which was MOO90/Submission assessment was not guidelines. The subr days from the M0090 agency had 528 subr % of Assessments w	s, agency "Inconsistent					
	12:00 PM, revealed h data since 1998. He every two to three we	ployee #5 on 9/24/09 at the was submitting OASIS stated he submitted data seeks. He was not aware that receiving regarding Error a percentage.					
	An interview with the	Administrator at 2:00 PM on					

B. WING			
297129	09/	24/2009	
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER  SENIOR SUPPORT SERVICES  STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 7975 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, #101 LAS VEGAS, NV 89117	•		
(X4) ID SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES ID PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORI PREFIX (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION STAGE REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)  TAG CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE ADEFICIENCY)	SHOULD BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE	
G 323  Continued From page 12 9/24/09, revealed that charts and OASIS information were being held until all patient visit notes and other data were turned in before the OASIS data was given to Employee #5 to submit.  G 337  The comprehensive assessment must include a review of all medications the patient is currently using in order to identify any potential adverse effects and drug reactions, including ineffective drug therapy, significant side effects, significant drug interactions, duplicate drug therapy, and noncompliance with drug therapy.  This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Surveyor: 22116 Based on record review and interview, the agency failed to ensure the clinical record included a review of all medications currently being used by a patient with the correct dose, time and/or frequency of administration, as well as updating the medication profile with changes as medications were added or discontinued, for 4 of 8 patients (Patients #8, #2, #4, #5).  Findings include:  Patient #8  The patient was admitted to the agency on 7/16/09 following a physician visit. His primary diagnoses included uncontrolled diabetes, diabetic neuropathy, osteoarthritis. His admission medication profile revealed he was to take 15 units of Lantus insulin every evening. The plan of care for 7/16/09-9/13/09, did not include the specific instructions that the Lantus insulin was to be administered in the evening.			

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION		(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	` ′	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION  A. BUILDING		(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		297129	B. WIN	G		09/24	4/2009
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER  SENIOR SUPPORT SERVICES			•	7	REET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 1975 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, #101 LAS VEGAS, NV 89117		
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)			ID PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORRE REFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION SH TAG CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE APP DEFICIENCY)		.D BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE
G 337	change in medication Gabapentin was char milligrams (mg) to two	vealed Patient #8 had a	G	337			
	3/14/09, with the prim hypertension and urin Review of Patient #2' identified that he was Levaquin 750 mg, who continue daily for 10 documentation on the medication was comparedication profile data another antibiotic was begin Cipro 250 mg of documentation that the for three weeks, although the compared on the number of the compared on the number of the compared on the primary of the compared on the	nary tract infection.  s medication profile prescribed an antibiotic, sich began on 3/12/09 and to days. There was no e medication profile when the bleted. An entry on the sted 3/30/09, indicated s started. Patient #2 was daily. There was no his antibiotic was to continue bugh this course was					
	9/10/09, following an an infected toe and v Patient #4's medicati admission revealed h twice a day for 10 da finished 9/19/09. Pat Warfarin (Coumadin)	itted to the agency on acute care hospitalization for enous thrombosis.  on profile at the time of e was taking Cipro 500 mg ys with the last dose to be ient #4 was also taking 4 mg daily at bedtime.  al record revealed the					

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION		(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	, , ,	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION  A. BUILDING		(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		297129	B. WIN	IG_		09/2	4/2009
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER  SENIOR SUPPORT SERVICES			•	7	REET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 1975 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, #101 LAS VEGAS, NV 89117		
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)			ID PROVIDER'S PLAN OF CORF PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACTION S TAG CROSS-REFERENCED TO THE AI DEFICIENCY)		D BE	(X5) COMPLETION DATE
G 337	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)  Continued From page 14 patient was not to take Warfarin for two days (9/14/09 and 9/15/09) then restart Warfarin at the same dose of 4 mg for six days. The clinical record revealed the last skilled nursing visit was 9/17/09, three days after the order to hold the Warfarin.  A home visit was performed on 9/22/09. A review of Patient #4's medication and his medication profile list in the home revealed the following:  - Patient #4 still had four capsules of the Cipro left in the bottle. Examination of the bottle revealed the pharmacy had dispensed 24 pills instead of 20. There was no evidence the physician was informed. Patient #4 stated his nurse told him to take all the antibiotics.  - The medication profile was not updated to reflect that Patient #4 was not to take the Warfarin for the two days and then resume the same dose for six days, although a skilled nursing visit ws made during this interval.  An interview with the registered nurse, Employee #6, during the home visit confirmed the nurse was aware of the additional antibiotics and told the patient to take all the pills. Employee #6 also confirmed he did not add the changes to the Warfarin on the medication profile because he put a copy of the order in the patient's home health information packet.  Patient #5  The patient was admitted on 9/17/09, with a primary diagnosis of cerebral-vascular accident. The medication profile and the medications on the plan of care indicated Patient #5 was currently taking 11 medications. The medications were		G	337			
	Carveanor, r epola, Li	sinopril, Zocor, Advair,					

STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES AND PLAN OF CORRECTION		(X1) PROVIDER/SUPPLIER/CLIA IDENTIFICATION NUMBER:	(X2) MULTIPLE CONSTRUCTION  A. BUILDING			(X3) DATE SURVEY COMPLETED	
		297129	B. WING _		09/2	24/2009	
NAME OF PROVIDER OR SUPPLIER SENIOR SUPPORT SERVICES				REET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 7975 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, #101 LAS VEGAS, NV 89117			
(X4) ID PREFIX TAG	SUMMARY STATEMENT OF DEFICIENCIES (EACH DEFICIENCY MUST BE PRECEDED BY FULL REGULATORY OR LSC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION)		ID PROVIDER'S PLAN OF PREFIX (EACH CORRECTIVE ACT TAG CROSS-REFERENCED TO T DEFICIENCE		ON SHOULD BE COMPLETION HE APPROPRIATE DATE		
G 337	(HCTZ), Nitroglycerin Plavix.  A home visit conductor Patient #5 was only to medications, Carvedi Nitroglycerin, and Placare. Patient #5 reves she only took the Adday as listed on the packnowledged she woonce a day instead of prescription indicated she had not yet receivant.	ed on 9/23/09 revealed aking four of the above lol, Lisinopril, vix, as ordered on the plan of called during the home visit vair as needed, not twice a clan of care. Patient #5 also as only taking the Lisinopril f twice a day as the l. Patient #5 acknowledged wed the prescriptions for the epcid, HCTZ, enteric coated	G 337				